UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,485	06/15/2001	Jay H. Connelly	042390P11866	8135
James Y. Go	7590 09/04/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/882,485		CONNELLY, JAY H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

		WIGHT TEE TO THE TOTAL TO THE TEE	2727
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 August	2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
application, applicant mu application in condition for	ist timely file one of the following or allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request within one of the following time
	piresmonths from the mailing		
no event, however, will Examiner Note: If box	the statutory period for reply expire la 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection. FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtaine have been filed is the date for pury under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculate set forth in (b) above, if checked.	poses of determining the period of ext d from: (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as e of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	s filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appea	al (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter		avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)⊠ They raise new iss		but prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
· · · —	•	w), ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the issues for
NOTE: See Cont	tinuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		
	-	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	ercome the following rejection(s):		
non-allowable claim(s).			timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended	d claims would be rejected is prov) is (or will be) as follows: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil /ided below or appended.	i be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVID	<u>ENCE</u>		
	to provide a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
entered because the affice	davit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other ex REQUEST FOR RECONSIDE		n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
See Continuation Shee	<u>t.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Informula. ☐ Other:	nation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examin	ner, Art Unit 2424		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3: The applicant amended claim 104 to include "describing," "automatically generated transparent to a user," "the demand data indicating the desirability of a corresponding piece of content," "together with," and "manually generated by the user." The examiner acknowledges Applicant's statement that the limitations are essentially from claim 109; however, the limitations are not identical to those that were in claim 109, and were not present in the independent claim. As such, they raise new issues in at least all of the dependent claims. Additionally, Applicant has added the limitation to "the demand data indicating the desirability of a corresponding piece of content," which was not previously included in claim 104 or claim 109. As such, the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11:

Regarding the claim objections to claims 104-138, the examiner notes that the proposed claim amendments appear to overcome the claim objections of the prior action.

Regarding claims 114 and 135, the applicant argues that the amendments to the claims prevent the claims from reading on "carrier wave signals." The examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner recommends that the phrase "transmitted or received via modem or communications interface 213" of page 11, line 10 of Applicant's specification, and the phrase "carrier wave signals" of page 11, line 16 be removed from Applicant's specification. Should Applicant choose not to remove the phrases from the specification, the examiner recommends that Applicant amend the claims to include an exclusionary limitation that excludes carrier wave signals. For example, "A machine-readable storage medium, excluding carrier wave signals, comprising..."

Regarding the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejections of claims 104-138, the examiner notes that the propsed claim amendments appear to overcome the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejections of the previous action.